



Dr. Zimran CHOWDHARY

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid:	September 30, 2017
Hearing:	Not Required
Charge(s):	Unprofessional Conduct
Outcome Date:	March 16, 2018

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Zimran Chowdhary, namely:

*You Dr. Zimran Chowdhary are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or bylaw 7.1 and/or paragraphs 6, 14 and/or 15 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1. of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan. The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:*

- a) On or about April 1, 2014 you performed a neonatal circumcision on a patient, hereafter referred to in this charge as patient "J.T.";*
- b) You failed to deal appropriately with the complications associated with the surgery you performed on J.T.;*
- c) On or about October 20, 2014 you saw patient J.T.;*
- d) Following your examination of J.T. you advised his parents that his condition was normal, or used words of similar meaning;*
- e) Following your examination of J.T. you advised his parents that there had not been any mistake related to the operation you performed on J.T., or used words of similar meaning;*
- f) Following your examination of J.T. you advised his parents that the other physicians who had been involved in J.T.'s care didn't know, or used words of similar meaning;*

- g) Following your examination of J.T. you advised his parents that the other physicians who had been involved in J.T's were unsure, or used words of similar meaning;*
- h) Following your examination of J.T. you advised his parents that J.T. would grow out his condition and that no further surgery would be needed, or used words of similar meaning;*
- i) Following your examination of J.T. on October 20, 2014 you wrote to his family physician and stated: "Now the parents are concerned that the skin is fused to the head of the penis. On examination it looks like to have healed well. I have reassured them that this is what happens when there is a lot of pre-pubic fat around the base of the penis. This gradually dissolves when the baby grows and the penis will eventually come out more. The amount of foreskin removed is carefully controlled by the Gomco clamp method which we use. It is just enough to uncover the head of the penis. If the penis shaft is smaller it tends to remain buried till the baby grows bigger. He does not any further surgery. They have been advised by other doctors that he will need further surgery and want to take the baby to Edmonton for further opinion."*